



Federal Communications Commission Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau SEP 1 1 2003

AUG 27 2003

Federal Countiment (1) 13 and 1850 Utilise of the Georgian.

Control No 0302484/kah-Pol

Ms Angela Browder 400 South Union Street Suite 395 Montgomery, AL 36104-4337

Dear Ms Browder

Thank you for your letter to Senator Richard Shelby regarding the Federal Communications Commission's (Commission) recent amendment to the rules implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 (TCPA) Specifically, you express concern that, "without the proper input from the business and association community," the Commission reversed its prior conclusion that an "established business relationship" constitutes the necessary express permission to send an unsolicited facsimile advertisement. You indicate that requiring such express permission to be in writing will place onerous burdens on associations that wish to fax their members

On September 18, 2002, the Commission released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) in CG Docket No 02-278, seeking comment on whether it should change its rules that restrict telemarketing calls and unsolicited fax advertisements, and if so, how The NPRM sought comment on the option to establish a national do-not-call list, and how such action might be taken in conjunction with the national do-not-call registry rules adopted by the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) and the numerous state do-not-call lists. In addition, the Commission sought comment on the effectiveness of the TCPA's unsolicited facsimile advertisement rules, including the Commission's determination that a prior business relationship between a fax sender and recipient establishes the requisite consent to receive advertisements via fax The Commission received over 6,000 comments from individuals, businesses, and state governments on the TCPA rules.

The record in this proceeding, along with our own enforcement experience, demonstrated that changes in the current rules are warranted, if consumers and businesses are to continue to receive the privacy protections contemplated by the TCPA As explained in the Commission's Report and Order released on July 3, 2003, the record indicated that many consumers and businesses receive faxes they believe they have neither solicited nor given their permission to receive Consumers emphasized that the burden of receiving hundreds of unsolicited faxes was not just limited to the cost of paper and toner, but includes the time spent reading and disposing of faxes, the time the machine is printing an advertisement and is not operational for other purposes, and the intrusiveness of faxes transmitted at inconvenient times. including in the middle of the night

Ī

As we explained in the Report and Order, the legislative history of the TCPA indicates that one of Congress' primary concerns was to protect the public from bearing the costs of unwanted advertising. Therefore, Congress determined that companies that wish to fax unsolicited advertisements to customers must obtain their express permission to do so before transmitting any faxes to them. The amended rules require all entities that wish to transmit advertisements to a facsimile machine to obtain permission from the recipient in writing.

The Commission's amended facsimile advertising rules were initially scheduled to go into effect on August 25, 2003. However, based on additional comments received since the adoption of the July Report and Order, the Commission, on its own motion, determined to delay the effective date of some of the amended facsimile rules, including the elimination of the established business relationship exemption, until January 1, 2005. The comments filed after the release of the Report and Order indicate that many organizations may need additional time to secure this written permission from individuals and businesses to which they fax advertisements. Enclosed is the Commission's Report on Reconsideration, released on August 18, 2003.

We appreciate your comments We have placed a copy of your correspondence in the public record for this proceeding. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have further questions

Sincerely,

K Dane Snowden

Chief

Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau


Enclosures

cc The Honorable Richard Shelby

1

RICHARD SHELBY ALABAMA

IN SELECTION OF BUILDING TO LEEP STATES with the performance of the perf r a reator star to the enate govern



August 13, 2003

Ms. Sheryl J. Wilkerson Office of Legislative Affairs Federal Communications Commission Room 8-C453 445 12th Street, S W. Washington, D C 20554

Dear Ms. Wilkerson

Enclosed, please find a copy of correspondence I received from Angela Browder.

Please review the enclosed and address the concerns raised. I have notified my constituent to expect a timely reply directly from you.

Sincerely,

STA" OFFILE

1800 F A ORT 27, Francis & 11, 6 rain mark At 3520 1205: 73 1384

Horison INT, Air-7 1000 Git IN HEIRN BOLD BOX 20127 Horison III AL 55003 250 7 0400

113 51 JOSEPH STATUT 445 U.S. CUDEL OILS MCH. L. AL 36602 1201-694 4164

(IKT CHURCH STREET RC)* (1561 NOT C 561 MONICOMERS AL 30104 (334 273 7303

TUN X 1 AL 35491 (205) 759-5017

TTTP GHITNSBURN AVENUE #210

Richard Shelby

RCS/sfm Enclosure

21 AUG 2003 RCVD

RICHARD SHELBY

ALABAMA

O M CO 1 TO DESCRIPTION OF THE CONTROL OF roll ri/ wowships COLUMN PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT 10 (1 1 No. 141 No. 14

r for Solen of Finance A CP To The Property of the Control United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-0103

August 13, 2003

Ms. Angela Browder 400 South Union Street Suite 395

Montgomery, Alabama 36104-4337

Dear Ms. Browder

Thank you for taking the time to contact me regarding your concerns.

I have contacted the FCC on your behalf and have asked them to respond to your concerns You should expect a reply to your concerns directly from the agency in a timely manner. Please do not hesitate to contact me about this or other matters in the future.

Sincerely,

Richard Shelby

RCS/sfm

S OF OUR IN

1000 C 18 S HI 25 C ==

113 St. Joseph S. r. c 445 D. S. L. H. N. D. F. Al. (166)? 2 T. (194–4164

U 4 (4 % + \$18 / F 191 C 561 M r + 2001 At 361 At (334) C2 + 730 F

 $\begin{array}{cccc} LL^{0}(\mathrm{CRE}(r),\mathrm{BCSC}) & \leq 1.6 & \mathrm{SCL}(s,\mathrm{SCL}) \\ & \leq & \leq 0.0 & \mathrm{AL} \Rightarrow \langle 0.1 \rangle \\ & \approx 2.05 \cdot 75 \leq 60 \pi^{2} \end{array}$

Shelby, Senator (Shelby)

430641 SL9810

From: Sent Tο

Angela Browder [asca@mindspring.com] Thursday, July 31, 2003 3 09 PM Shelby, Senator (Shelby)

Subject:

TCPA and Associations

RECENTED ALL

angela Browder Add South Union St , Suite 395 Montgomery AL 36104-433/

July 31, 2003

The Honorable Richard C Shelby Chited States Senate JO Hart Senate Office Building W.shington, D.C. 20510-0103

Cenator Sholpy

in am writing to alert you to the recent actions taken by the FCC to amend the regulations that implement the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 (TCPA) The FCC has decided, without the proper input from the nusiness and association community, to modify the current law by doing away with the "established business relationship" provision pertaining to t, x advertisements This amendment will place onerous administrative and oconomic purdens on associations by requiring "expressed written consent" from their own members prior to sending a fax advertisement. I hope you share in my concern over this operous restriction of legitimate commercial activativ

The new FCC reading of the TCPA prohibits any person or entity from anding any fax that contains an unsolicited advertisement which is defined as "any material advertising the commercial availability or quality of any property, good, or services which is transmitted to any person without that person's prior express invitation or permission." As result, the established business relationship is no longer sufficient to ermit faxes to be transmitted. Associations and businesses are now faced ith the challenging administrative, legal, economic and record keeping amifications that will arise thanks to the new FCC changes

he proposed changes, which are scheduled to go into effect on August 25, 003 30 days after they were published in the Federal Register on July , '00%, will create a significant economic and labor-intensive burden title association community. The adjustment in the TCPA will require indec whitten consent to allow faxes to be sent that contain unsolicited that sements of would even require written consent for faxes Turing to weights such as abbual meetings

il those changes may be suitable for residential telephone numbers as rew Do Not Call registry provides, they are certainly not acceptable . estectation-to member facsimile communications. Associations rely on fig. as a prime source of communication and marketing to meet the needs leig remoors

r penalties reachino Sil,000 per unauthorized fax, this is a burden throw absortations can financially endure. The proposed FCC changes a orine example of an idea where the disadvantages and unintended orguences for outweigh the benefits. Please join me in requesting that FCC halt their efforts to change the current TCPA

Amgela N Browdor